

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Ectodermal dysplasias: Classification and organization by phenotype, genotype and molecular pathway

John Timothy Wright¹  | Mary Fete² | Holm Schneider³ | Madelaine Zinser² | Maranke I. Koster^{4,5} | Angus J. Clarke⁶ | Smail Hadj-Rabia⁷  | Gianluca Tadini⁸ | Nina Pagnan⁹ | Atila F. Visinoni¹⁰ | Birgitta Bergendal¹¹ | Becky Abbott¹² | Timothy Fete^{4,13} | Clark Stanford^{4,14} | Clayton Butcher¹⁵ | Rena N. D'Souza¹⁶ | Virginia P. Sybert¹⁷ | Maria I. Morasso¹⁸

¹Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Bauer Hall CB#7450, School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

²National Foundation for Ectodermal Dysplasias, Fairview Heights, Illinois

³Department of Pediatrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany

⁴NFED Scientific Advisory Council, Fairview Heights, Illinois

⁵Dermatology and Ophthalmology, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, Colorado

⁶Cancer & Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Wales, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Dermatology, Reference Center for Genodermatoses and Rare Skin Diseases (MAGEC), INSERM U1163, Descartes - Sorbonne Paris Cité University, Imagine Institute, Necker-Enfants Malades University Hospital, Paris, France

⁸Center for Inherited Cutaneous Diseases, Department of Pathophysiology and Transplantation, Università degli Studi di Milano, Foundation IRCCS Ca' Granda, Ospedale Maggiore Policlinico, Milan, Italy

⁹Department of Genetics, Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil

¹⁰Positivo University, Curitiba, Brazil

¹¹National Oral Disability Center for Rare Disorders, The Institute for Postgraduate Dental Education, Jönköping, Sweden

¹²NFED for Treatment & Research, Fairview Heights, Illinois

¹³Department of Child Health, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri

¹⁴University of Illinois at Chicago College of Dentistry, Chicago, Illinois

¹⁵Departments of Medicine and Child Health, University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri

¹⁶Academic Affairs and Education, Health Sciences, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah

¹⁷Division of Medical Genetics, Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington

¹⁸Laboratory of Skin Biology, National Institute of Arthritis and Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

Correspondence

Timothy Wright, Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Bauer Hall CB#7450, School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-7450.
Email: tim_wright@unc.edu

Abstract

An international advisory group met at the National Institutes of Health in Bethesda, Maryland in 2017, to discuss a new classification system for the ectodermal dysplasias (EDs) that would integrate both clinical and molecular information. We propose the following, a working definition of the EDs building on previous classification systems and incorporating current approaches to diagnosis: EDs are genetic conditions affecting the development and/or homeostasis of two or more ectodermal derivatives, including hair, teeth, nails, and certain glands. Genetic variations in genes known to be associated with EDs that affect only one derivative of the ectoderm (attenuated phenotype) will be grouped as non-syndromic traits of the causative gene (e.g., non-syndromic hypodontia or missing teeth associated with pathogenic variants of *EDA* "ectodysplasin"). Information for categorization and cataloging includes the phenotypic features, Online

Dedication: We dedicate this article to the late Dr. Carlos Salinas, long-time leader who sought continuous improvement in our understanding and classification of the ectodermal dysplasias.

Mendelian Inheritance in Man number, mode of inheritance, genetic alteration, major developmental pathways involved (e.g., EDA, WNT “wingless-type,” TP63 “tumor protein p63”) or the components of complex molecular structures (e.g., connexins, keratins, cadherins).

KEYWORDS

classification, dysplasia, ectodermal, genetic, molecular, signaling pathway

1 | INTRODUCTION

Ectoderm gives rise to the epidermis, the central and peripheral nervous system, the placodes (including cranial placodes), and neural crest cells. Cell fate decisions that lead to ectodermal lineage specification are regulated by highly conserved signaling pathways such as the WNT, BMP “bone morphogenic protein, and FGF ‘fibroblast growth factor’ ” pathways (Patthey & Gunhaga, 2014). Ectodermal appendages (e.g., hair, teeth, nails) arise from signaling cross-talk interactions between ectodermal epithelium and mesenchyme (Naveau, Seidel, & Klein, 2014). Numerous hereditary conditions are characterized by abnormal development of ectodermal tissues. Classification and nosology for these conditions exist to guide clinicians in deriving a correct diagnosis and aid in communicating with patients and investigators. Ideally, these classification systems and approaches should be useful for diverse stakeholders including students, patients, researchers, and clinicians.

Hereditary conditions historically classified as an ectodermal dysplasia (ED) are heterogeneous in their genetic causes and clinical phenotypes. A unifying feature is that all of the ED conditions are genetically determined developmental defects of tissues of ectodermal origin. Initial classification systems for the EDs predate molecular genetics and were categorized and grouped according to phenotypic features and mode of inheritance. The most widely known such nosology was developed by Dr. Newton Freire-Maia in the 1970s and included conditions with “classical signs” involving hair, teeth, nails, and/or sweat glands (Freire-Maia, 1971, 1977; Freire-Maia & Pinheiro, 1984, 1988). The disorders were subdivided into Group A—those having at least two of these tissues affected—and Group B—conditions affecting one of the aforementioned tissues and at least one other tissue of ectodermal origin (e.g., mammary gland) (Freire-Maia & Pinheiro, 1984; Nguyen-Nielsen, Skovbo, Svaneby, Pedersen, & Fryzek, 2013). The Freire-Maia classification system serves as a strong foundation that has advanced our understanding of these diverse conditions and provided valuable information on how best to manage affected individuals.

While the EDs are considered rare conditions, there are contradictory reports on their prevalence. A nationwide cross-sectional study in Denmark that leveraged data from national registries and clinical departments suggests that X-linked hypohidrotic ED (XLHED; MIM #305100) may be more common than previously thought (Nguyen-Nielsen et al., 2013). The authors reported a possible 1,224 HED cases between 1995 and 2010 with 90 of these confirmed at the molecular level, 146 clinically diagnosed, and 988 possible HED

cases. The prevalence of molecularly confirmed XLHED was 1.6:100,000 (Nguyen-Nielsen et al., 2013).

Although the historical nosological grouping of the EDs was predicated on phenotype, our understanding of the human genome and its role in development and disease has advanced providing new opportunities to recognize how some conditions are related at the molecular level (Freire-Maia, 1977; Itin, 2014; Wright et al., 2009). The first genetic alteration identified as causative for ED was a loss-of-function variant of the gene *EDA* (Kere et al., 1996). Following this discovery, the causes of other hypohidrotic ED conditions with similar phenotypes were discovered in defects of the EDA receptor (EDAR) and the adaptor proteins EDARADD “EDAR-associated death domain” (Headon et al., 2001) and TRAF6 “TNF receptor-associated factor 6” (Chassaing, Bourthoumieu, Cossee, Calvas, & Vincent, 2006; Wisniewski & Trzeciak, 2012). Pathogenic alterations in any of these genes can result in a clinical phenotype similar to XLHED, and both heterozygosity and compound heterozygosity or homozygosity for these can cause the disorders. Subsequently, genetic alterations in genes associated with other ED types were discovered in the NFκB pathway that plays a variety of roles in normal ectodermal tissue development. The genetic basis for nearly 50% of conditions historically classified as EDs and the causative genetic alterations underlying most of the more prevalent ED conditions are known (Pagnan & Visinoni, 2014; Wright et al., 2009). Further, it is now clear that many of the genes affected in EDs function in common molecular pathways that are known to be of importance in development of the ectodermal derivatives (e.g., *NFκB* “nuclear factor kappa-B”, *WNT*, *TP63* pathways) (Cluzeau et al., 2011; Kantaputra & Carlson, 2019; Koster, 2010).

Developing a classification system that incorporates the molecular etiology and the molecular pathway will help clinicians about the diagnosis of the diverse ED conditions at both the clinical and genetics levels (Itin, 2014). Understanding the molecular pathogenesis of the EDs will better inform researchers as to phenotypic features often associated with specific pathways thereby illuminating potential causative candidate genes for ED conditions undiagnosed at the molecular level (e.g., TP63 pathway-associated phenotypes include features such as cleft lip/palate and hand/foot malformations) (Koster, 2010; Vera-Carbonell et al., 2012).

The National Foundation for Ectodermal Dysplasias (NFED) embarked on developing a new classification system through a series of conferences that brought together stakeholders and international experts. Dr. Carlos Salinas chaired two conferences in Charleston, South Carolina, in 2008 and 2012; with the proceedings published in the American Journal of Medical Genetics (Salinas et al., 2014; Salinas,

Jorgenson, Wright, DiGiovanna, & Fete, 2009). In 2017, an international working group of individuals, many of whom had participated in the previous conferences, met on the National Institutes of Health campus in Bethesda, Maryland. The outcome of these meetings was a refined definition of what comprises an ED for developing a classification approach that would incorporate phenotype, inheritance, and molecular etiology including developmental pathway or structural assembly to organize and cluster the ED conditions.

2 | DEFINITION OF ED

A broad variety of tissues such as the central and peripheral nervous system, adenohypophysis, lens, olfactory epithelium, parts of the pharyngeal arches, pigmented cells, epidermis, and mucosal epithelium are of ectodermal origin. The definition for inclusion as an ED does not extend to all derivatives of ectoderm and is most often limited to conditions affecting the skin and mucosa and/or their appendages (Freire-Maia, 1977; Itin, 2013; Pagnan & Visinoni, 2014). Based on recommendations from the previous classification conferences, the group developed consensus on the following working definition of ED for the purposes of this classification system: EDs are genetic conditions affecting the development and/or homeostasis of two or more ectodermal derivatives, including hair, teeth, nails, and certain glands. The molecular causes of these diverse conditions involve many genes and multiple developmental pathways and components of complex molecular structures that are necessary for normal formation, structure, and function of the ectodermal derivatives. It should be noted that in some EDs there are disturbances of epithelial-mesenchymal interaction affecting endodermal as well as ectodermal structures, such as the defects of mucous gland formation in the lung and the colon in XLHED.




FIGURE 1 The EDA molecular pathways and the interrelationships between different genes and known associated ectodermal dysplasias (EDs) are presented. Causative genes appear in orange ovals and abbreviations for the ED conditions are shown in green boxes




FIGURE 2 The WNT molecular pathways and the interrelationships between different genes are presented. Causative genes appear in purple ovals and abbreviations for the ectodermal dysplasia conditions are shown in green boxes

Numerous genes may have alterations that are associated with syndromes while in other instances they are associated with changes in only one ectodermal tissue. Examples of this include EDA and WNT10A “wingless-type 10A” variants that result in missing teeth but no other phenotypic features of ED (van den Boogaard et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013). Genetic alterations of ED-associated genes that only affect one derivative of ectoderm (e.g., hair, teeth, nails, sweat glands) should be grouped as non-syndromic traits of the causative gene (e.g., non-syndromic hypodontia or missing teeth associated with pathogenic EDA variants). It is further noted that not all pathogenic mutations in a given




FIGURE 3 The TP63 molecular pathways and the interrelationships between different genes are presented. Causative genes appear in blue ovals and abbreviations for the ectodermal dysplasia conditions are shown in green boxes

TABLE 1 Organization of ED conditions based on molecular pathways

OMIM number	Syndrome name(s)	Gene	Distinguishing features
EDA/NFKappaB pathway			
305100	Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia; ED1; Christ-Siemens-Touraine syndrome	Ectodysplasin A; <i>EDA</i> (300451)	Hypohidrosis, hypotrichosis, hypodontia, smooth dry skin, craniofacial dysmorphology, periorbital pigmentation
129490	Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia 10A	Ectodysplasin A Receptor; <i>EDAR</i> (604095) or <i>EDARADD</i> (606603)	Hypohidrosis, hypotrichosis, hypodontia, smooth dry skin, craniofacial dysmorphology, periorbital pigmentation
224900	Hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia 10B	Ectodysplasin A Receptor; <i>EDAR</i> (604095) or <i>EDARADD</i> (606603)	Hypohidrosis, hypotrichosis, hypodontia, smooth dry skin, craniofacial dysmorphology, periorbital pigmentation
308300	Incontinentia Pigmenti; IP	<i>IKBKG</i> (300248)	Short stature, cataract, microphthalmia, hypodontia, extra ribs, breast aplasia, staged skin involvement, nail dystrophy, atrophic hair
300291	Ectodermal dysplasia and immunodeficiency 1: <i>EDAID1</i>	<i>IKBKG</i> (300248)	Hypohidrosis, hypotrichosis, morbidity/mortality secondary to immunodeficiency
WNT pathway			
305600	Focal dermal hypoplasia, Goltz syndrome	<i>PORCN</i> (300651)	Short stature, facial asymmetry, narrow auditory canals, hearing loss, oral papillomas, hypodontia, syndactyly, sparse hair, skin atrophy
257980	Odontoonychodermal dysplasia; OODD	<i>WNT10A</i> (606268)	Sparse eyebrows, severe hypodontia, smooth tongue, hyperhidrosis, hyperkeratosis, dystrophic nails, sparse eyebrows, thin hair
224750	Schopf-Schulz-Passarge syndrome	<i>WNT10A</i> (606268)	Hypodontia, eyelid cysts, keratoderma, hypoplastic nails, hypotrichosis
TP63 pathway			
103285	Acro-dermato-ungual-lacrima-tooth syndrome (ADULT syndrome)	<i>TP63</i> (603273)	Lacrimal obstruction, hypodontia, dysplastic teeth, breast hypoplasia, ectrodactyly, thin skin, dysplastic nails
106260	Ankyloblepharon-ectodermal defects-cleft lip/palate (AEC; Hay-Wells syndrome)	<i>TP63</i> (603273)	Scalp erosions, conductive hearing loss, maxillary hypoplasia, lacrimal duct atresia, hypotrichosis, ankyloblepharon, cleft lip, hypodontia
129400	Rapp-Hodgkin syndrome	<i>TP63</i> (603273)	Short stature, maxillary hypoplasia, hearing loss, cleft l/p, hypodontia, syndactyly, thin skin, hypohidrosis
604292	Ectrodactyly, ectodermal dysplasia, and cleft lip/palate syndrome 3; EEC3	<i>TP63</i> (603273)	Blepharophimosis, cleft l/p, microdontia, hypodontia, syndactyly, hypokeratosis, dystrophic nails, hypotrichosis
603543	Limb-mammary syndrome; LMS	<i>TP63</i> (603273)	Lacrimal duct atresia, hypodontia cleft p, hypoplastic breasts, syndactyly, ectrodactyly, nail dysplasia
Structure group			
225280	Ectodermal dysplasia, ectrodactyly, and macular dystrophy syndrome; EEMS	<i>CDH3</i> Cadherin 3 (114021)	Sparse scalp hair, eyebrows and eyelashes, hypodontia, small teeth, ectrodactyly, syndactyly, camptodactyly, normal sweating
602032	Ectodermal dysplasia 4, hair/nail type; ECTD4	<i>KRT85</i> Keratin 85 (602767)	Nail dystrophy, onycholysis, absent eyebrows/eyelashes, alopecia, normal skin/teeth
604536	Ectodermal dysplasia/skin fragility syndrome	<i>PKP1</i> Plakophilin 1 (601975)	Nail dystrophy and thickening, hypotrichosis, sweat glands, skin fragility
158000	Monilethrix; MNLIX	Keratins 81, 86, 83; <i>KRT81</i> , <i>KRT86</i> , <i>KRT83</i> (602153, 601928 602765)	Follicular keratosis, nail dystrophy, hypotrichosis, brittle hair
225060	Cleft lip/palate-ectodermal dysplasia; CLPED1	Nectin 1 <i>NECTIN1</i> (600644)	Malar hypoplasia, hypotrichosis, cleft lip/palate, hypodontia, syndactyly, onychodysplasia
Other/unknown			
601701	Arthrogryposis and ectodermal dysplasia	Unknown	Short stature, microcephaly, cataract, cleft lip/palate, oligodontia, enamel defects, arthrogryposis, hypohidrosis, onychodysplasia
125640	Dermoonontodysplasia	Unknown	Trichodysplasia, onychodysplasia, dental anomalies

Note. ED = ectodermal dysplasia; OMIM = Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.

gene may cause an ED. For example, mutations in *GJB2* "gap junction protein beta-2," a gene coding for connexin, can give rise to isolated deafness, palmoplantar keratoderma, and ichthyosis, as well as K-I-D syndrome (keratitis-ichthyosis-deafness syndrome).

3 | INCLUSION/EXCLUSION

The development of a useful nosology based on the above definition of ED involved establishing inclusion and exclusion criteria. Conditions were

included if they met the adopted definition of an ED. Conditions already included as part of other classifications or groups of diseases and/or are presented in different chapters in textbooks (e.g., palmoplantar keratoderms such as Papillon-Lefèvre syndrome [Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) #245000], disorders of DNA repair such as trichothiodystrophy, vesiculobullous disorders) were not included, although they may be associated with alterations in ectodermal structures (Fine et al., 2014; Luckner, Van de Kerkhof, & Steijlen, 1994). Complex syndromes that have ED signs but also major non-ED signs (e.g., affecting bone, brain) were also excluded (e.g., trisomy 21). Finally, the group agreed to exclude conditions listed in OMIM with only one case report and no known molecular etiology.

4 | CLASSIFICATION SCHEME AND CLUSTERING

The proposed ED classification system comprises information from multiple domains including OMIM #, phenotype, mode of inheritance, causal gene, and molecular pathway or structure. Conditions are grouped based on genotype, molecular pathway and phenotype. The clinician is likely to assort these disorders based on the physical features while the molecular geneticist may think in terms of pathways. A classification system should be a useful tool irrespective of the user's entry point. Knowledge of developmental pathways and molecular structures, and the relationship of different gene products within these domains, show that many EDs result from genes that co-participate in critical developmental processes and structural assemblages of the ectodermal derivatives (Figures 1–3). In these figures the EDA associated genes are presented in orange ovals, WNT associated genes are presented in purple ovals, and TP63 associated genes are presented in blue ovals. These pathway figures also illustrate how different pathways can be interconnected (Figure 1 – TP63 genes interacting with EDA pathway genes). Other genes and their genetic variants associated with EDs code for proteins important for the structure and/or function of cells. Table 1 illustrates this organizational system showing how ED conditions are clustered based on the gene, molecular pathway, and/or protein function and how these different domains are ordered to provide relevant information. The full list of the known ED conditions included is available in the electronic supplement (Supporting Information Table 2e). The conditions are ordered within clusters based on the most proximal or up-stream gene involved with down-stream genes in the pathway following (e.g., EDA, EDAR, IKBKG “inhibitor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells”). In the case of EDA, this also happens to coincide with XLHED being the most prevalent form of HED. As ED prevalence remains poorly characterized, meaningful ordering or reporting based on frequency of occurrence in the population is difficult. Conditions meeting the definition of an ED but of unknown etiology are grouped with other EDs that share the most similar phenotype. Identifying the molecular basis of these conditions will allow classification with existing ED clusters or, based on the molecular etiology, to become the anchor of a new ED cluster.

5 | DISCUSSION

The omics era ushered in entirely new and rapidly developing areas of knowledge that continue to change our view of health and disease. Conditions previously thought to be unrelated can result from allelic mutations that lead to markedly different clinical phenotypes (e.g., TP63-associated syndromes) (Rostagno et al., 2010; Wisniewski & Trzeciak, 2012). Prior to the explosion of knowledge in the molecular era, the foundation for classifying conditions was phenotype and mode of inheritance. More recent classificatory approaches include a variety of molecular data that aids in understanding the relationship of the underlying molecular defect, protein alteration and resulting phenotype. For example, the inherited epidermolysis bullosa conditions are clustered based on the histological level of tissue separation, the clinical phenotype, the gene involved and, when possible, the specific genetic alteration (Fine et al., 2014). Other classification systems, such as the nosology proposed for inherited ichthyosis, remain clinically based (Oji et al., 2010). The international ichthyosis workgroup recognized, however, that a pathophysiologic classification of inherited ichthyoses should be developed as additional information becomes available. The Nosology Group of the International Skeletal Dysplasia Society clusters conditions based on their molecular basis as well as using phenotype clustering (Bonafe et al., 2015).

Classification systems for ED have been proposed that categorize the conditions within groups based on the underlying functional defect such as abnormal developmental regulation or structural protein (Priolo & Lagana, 2001). We are aware that the proposed ED nosology will require additions and modifications due to the identification of new genes and genetic alterations. Nonetheless, we believe that this current construct has utility for the clinician struggling with a differential diagnosis and the researcher hoping to elucidate underlying causality. With the therapeutic management of XLHED now a reality, through the intra-amniotic delivery of a fusion protein that substitutes for the function of the abnormal EDA protein, the need to establish the correct diagnosis of the EDs has never been greater (Schneider et al., 2018).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the support from the NFED and NIDCR for helping move this agenda forward over the past decade and their ongoing assistance to improve our understanding of the ectodermal dysplasias.

ORCID

John Timothy Wright  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5583-7535>

Smail Hadj-Rabia  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6801-7106>

REFERENCES

- Bonafe, L., Cormier-Daire, V., Hall, C., Lachman, R., Mortier, G., Mundlos, S., ... Unger, S. (2015). Nosology and classification of genetic skeletal disorders: 2015 revision. *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 167A(12), 2869–2892.
- Chassaing, N., Bourthoumieu, S., Cossee, M., Calvas, P., & Vincent, M. C. (2006). Mutations in EDAR account for one-quarter of non-ED1-related hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia. *Human Mutation*, 27(3), 255–259.

- Cluzeau, C., Hadj-Rabia, S., Jambou, M., Mansour, S., Guigue, P., Masmoudi, S., ... Smahi, A. (2011). Only four genes (EDA1, EDAR, EDARADD, and WNT10A) account for 90% of hypohidrotic/anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasia cases. *Human Mutation*, 32(1), 70–72.
- Fine, J. D., Bruckner-Tuderman, L., Eady, R. A., Bauer, E. A., Bauer, J. W., Has, C., ... Zambruno, G. (2014). Inherited epidermolysis bullosa: Updated recommendations on diagnosis and classification. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 70(6), 1103–1126.
- Freire-Maia, N. (1971). Ectodermal dysplasias. *Human Heredity*, 21(4), 309–312.
- Freire-Maia, N. (1977). Nosologic groups. An overview. *Human Heredity*, 27(4), 251–256.
- Freire-Maia, N., & Pinheiro, M. (1984). *Ectodermal dysplasias: A clinical and genetic study* (p. 251). New York, NY: Alan R. Liss.
- Freire-Maia, N., & Pinheiro, M. (1988). Ectodermal dysplasia—Some recollections and a classification. In C. F. Salinas, J. M. Opitz, & N. W. Paul (Eds.), *Recent advances in ectodermal dysplasias* (pp. 3–14). New York, NY: Alan R. Liss.
- Headon, D. J., Emmal, S. A., Ferguson, B. M., Tucker, A. S., Justice, M. J., Sharpe, P. T., ... Overbeek, P. A. (2001). Gene defect in ectodermal dysplasia implicates a death domain adapter in development. *Nature*, 414(6866), 913–916.
- Itin, P. H. (2013). Ectodermal dysplasia: Thoughts and practical concepts concerning disease classification—The role of functional pathways in the molecular genetic diagnosis. *Dermatology*, 226(2), 111–114.
- Itin, P. H. (2014). Etiology and pathogenesis of ectodermal dysplasias. *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 164A(10), 2472–2477.
- Kantaputra, P. N., & Carlson, B. M. (2019). Genetic regulatory pathways of split-hand/foot malformation. *Clinical Genetics*, 95(1), 132–139.
- Kere, J., Srivastava, A. K., Montonen, O., Zonana, J., Thomas, N., Ferguson, B., ... Schlessinger, D. (1996). X-linked anhidrotic (hypohidrotic) ectodermal dysplasia is caused by mutation in a novel transmembrane protein. *Nature Genetics*, 13, 409–416.
- Koster, M. I. (2010). p63 in skin development and ectodermal dysplasias. *The Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 130(10), 2352–2358.
- Lucker, G. P., Van de Kerkhof, P. C., & Steijlen, P. M. (1994). The hereditary palmoplantar keratoses: An updated review and classification. *The British Journal of Dermatology*, 131(1), 1–14.
- Naveau, A., Seidel, K., & Klein, O. D. (2014). Tooth, hair and claw: Comparing epithelial stem cell niches of ectodermal appendages. *Experimental Cell Research*, 325(2), 96–103.
- Nguyen-Nielsen, M., Skovbo, S., Svaneby, D., Pedersen, L., & Fryzek, J. (2013). The prevalence of X-linked hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia (XLHED) in Denmark, 1995–2010. *European Journal of Medical Genetics*, 56(5), 236–242.
- Oji, V., Tadini, G., Akiyama, M., Blanchet Bardon, C., Bodemer, C., Bourrat, E., ... Traupe, H. (2010). Revised nomenclature and classification of inherited ichthyoses: Results of the First Ichthyosis Consensus Conference in Sorze 2009. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 63(4), 607–641.
- Pagnan, N. A., & Visinoni, A. F. (2014). Update on ectodermal dysplasias clinical classification. *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 164A(10), 2415–2423.
- Patthey, C., & Gunhaga, L. (2014). Signaling pathways regulating ectodermal cell fate choices. *Experimental Cell Research*, 321(1), 11–16.
- Priolo, M., & Lagana, C. (2001). Ectodermal dysplasias: A new clinical-genetic classification. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 38(9), 579–585.
- Rostagno, P., Wolchinsky, Z., Vigano, A. M., Shvitiel, S., Zhou, H., Van Bokhoven, H., ... Virolle, T. (2010). Embryonic stem cells as an ectodermal cellular model of human p63-related dysplasia syndromes. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 395(1), 131–135.
- Salinas, C. F., Irvine, A. D., Itin, P. H., Di Giovanna, J. J., Schneider, H., Clarke, A. J., ... Fete, M. (2014). Second International Conference on a Classification of Ectodermal Dysplasias: Development of a multi-axis model. *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 164A(10), 2482–2489.
- Salinas, C. F., Jorgenson, R. J., Wright, J. T., DiGiovanna, J. J., & Fete, M. D. (2009). 2008 International Conference on Ectodermal Dysplasias Classification: Conference report. *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 149A(9), 1958–1969.
- Schneider, H., Faschingbauer, F., Schuepbach-Mallepell, S., Korber, I., Wohlfart, S., Dick, A., ... Schneider, P. (2018). Prenatal correction of X-linked hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 378(17), 1604–1610.
- van den Boogaard, M. J., Creton, M., Bronkhorst, Y., van der Hout, A., Hennekam, E., Lindhout, D., ... Ploos van Amstel, H. K. (2012). Mutations in WNT10A are present in more than half of isolated hypodontia cases. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 49(5), 327–331.
- Vera-Carbonell, A., Moya-Quiles, M. R., Ballesta-Martinez, M., Lopez-Gonzalez, V., Bafalliu, J. A., Guillen-Navarro, E., & Lopez-Exposito, I. (2012). Rapp-Hodgkin syndrome and SHFM1 patients: Delineating the p63-Dlx5/Dlx6 pathway. *Gene*, 497(2), 292–297.
- Wisniewski, S. A., & Trzeciak, W. H. (2012). A new mutation resulting in the truncation of the TRAF6-interacting domain of XEDAR: A possible novel cause of hypohidrotic ectodermal dysplasia. *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 49(8), 499–501.
- Wright, J. T., Morris, C., Clements, S. E., D'Souza, R., Gaide, O., Mikkola, M., & Zonana, J. (2009). Classifying ectodermal dysplasias: Incorporating the molecular basis and pathways (Workshop II). *American Journal of Medical Genetics: Part A*, 149A(9), 2062–2067.
- Yang, Y., Luo, L., Xu, J., Zhu, P., Xue, W., Wang, J., ... Su, L. (2013). Novel EDA p.Ile260Ser mutation linked to non-syndromic hypodontia. *Journal of Dental Research*, 92(6), 500–506.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Wright JT, Fete M, Schneider H, et al. Ectodermal dysplasias: Classification and organization by phenotype, genotype and molecular pathway. *Am J Med Genet Part A*. 2019;1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.a.61045>